

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,804	KASAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline F Stephens	3761	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After Final

 (1) Jacqueline F Stephens, PTO.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert Gnuse, applicant's representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection of 5, 12, 13 as being unpatentable over Paul USPN 6217890 in view of Hamajima et al. USPN 5865822.

Claims discussed:

5, 12, 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Paul/Hamajima

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated claims 1-3 were allowable, however claims 5, 12, 13, and their dependent claims were not allowable in present form. Applicant indicated he would consider revising. Agreement was not reached.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)